arXiv:math/0612686v1 [math.DG] 22 Dec 2006

# Existence of Metrics with Prescribed Scalar Curvature on the Volume Element Preserving Deformation

Zhi-Zhang Wang*

## Abstract

In this paper,we obtain two results on closed Reimainnian manifold $M \times [0,T]$.When $T$ is small enough,to any prescribed scalar curvature, the existence and uniqueness of metrics are obtained on the volume element preserving deformation.When $T$ is large and the given scalar curvature is small enough,the same result holds.

# 1 Introduction

This article concerns the following question:Suppose $(M,g),(N,h)$ are m-dimension and n-dimension Riemainnian manifolds,on which $g,h$ are corresponded metrics.Then $g+h$ is a metric on $M\times N$.(Here, $(g+h)(X_P,Y_P) = g((X_1)_P,(Y_1)_P)+h((X_2)_P,(Y_2)_P), X_P=(X_1)_P+(X_2)_P, Y_P=(Y_1)_P+(Y_2)_P$, $X_P,Y_P$ are tangent vectors on $M\times N$ at point $P$.They can be decomposed into $(X_1)_P,(Y_1)_P$ and $(X_2)_P,(Y_2)_P$, which are tangent vectors on $M$ and $N$.)The author considers a class of metric deformation: in fact, one can construct a metric $K$ on $M\times N$,such that $K=\rho^n g+\rho^{-m}h$,here $\rho$ is a smooth enough positive function defined on $M\times N$. Obvious, $det(K) = det(\rho^n g)det(\rho^{-m}h) = det(g)det(h) = det(g+h)$, then the volume forms of $g+h$ and $K$ are same at every point.So we call this deformation as the volume element preserving deformation. And the question is that with what

---

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai,China(200433)

condition, a smooth enough function $\tilde{R}$ defined on $M \times N$ can be viewed as the scalar curvature of this kind of metrics $K$.

This question can induce a partial differential equation namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} &= \rho^{-n} R_g + \rho^m R_h + \rho^{-n} \triangle_g ln\rho^n + \rho^m \triangle_h ln\rho^{-m} \\
&\quad -\frac{n}{4}(nm + 2n + m^2)\rho^{-n} |\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2 \\
&\quad -\frac{m}{4}(nm + 2m + n^2)\rho^m |\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2 \qquad (1.1)
\end{aligned}$$

It is too complicated,the author only study this equation when $n = 1$.Do a transformation, this equation becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} &= e^{-2u} R_g + 2e^{-2u} \triangle u - 2me^{2mu} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \\
&\quad -(m^2 + m + 2)e^{-2u} |\nabla u|^2 - m(3m + 1)e^{2mu} u_t^2 \qquad (1.2)
\end{aligned}$$

It is a degenerate quasi-linear hyperbolic equation.

This article is composed by 5 sections.In section 2,the author introduces the notations of some spaces and corresponded norms, refers some Lemmas which are useful to the rest part of this paper.Section 3 gives the details to obtain equation (1.1),(1.2) from the above proposed question.In section 4,using the energy estimate,the prior estimate of equation(1.2) obtained on $M \times I$,here $I = [0, T]$ is a interval of length $T$.Section 5 studies the linear equation of the following form:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + a(x,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - (\alpha(x,t)\triangle u + < \nabla\beta(x,t), \nabla u > + \gamma(x,t)u) = f(x,t) \quad (1.3)$$

Using the estimate of section 4 and Banach contraction principle, the last section gives two results.

In a word,the paper mainly obtains the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.1** Suppose $(M, g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold, $I = [0, T]$ be a interval of length $T$ with standard Euclidean metric $h$, and $\tilde{R} \in C^\infty(M \times I)$.To any integer $k > \frac{m}{2} + 3$,exist $0 < t_0 \leq T$, then exist a unique volume element preserving deformation $e^{2u}g + e^{-2mu}h$ such that the scalar curvature of this metric is $\tilde{R}$ on $M \times [0, t_0]$.Here, $u \in C^k(M \times [0, t_0])$,and satisfies $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$ and $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in C^\infty(M)$ are two prescribed functions.And $t_0$ relates to manifold $M, k, \tilde{R}, \varphi, \psi$.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose $(M,g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold which is scalar curvature flat $R_g = 0$.And $I = [0,T]$ be a interval of length $T$ with standard Euclidean metric $h$, and $\tilde{R} \in C^{\infty}(M \times I)$.To any integer $k > \frac{m}{2} + 3$,exist $\varepsilon > 0$,and when $\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{[\frac{m}{2}+k]}(M)} \leq \varepsilon$ then exist a unique volume element preserving deformation such that the scalar curvature of the metric $e^{2u}g + e^{-2mu}h$ is $\tilde{R}$ on $M \times I$.Here, $u \in C^k(M \times I)$,and $u$ satisfies $u(x,0) = 0, u_t(x,0) = 0$ ,and $\varepsilon$ only relates to manifold $M, k, I$.

**Remark 1.3** Here,closed manifold means compact oriented and without boundary manifold.

At last ,the author should thank to his supervisor Professor Huang Xuanguo.This question is proposed originally by him.And he induced the correspond equation in some special cases.The section 3 is the generalize of his computation.He carefully checks my paper,and gives me much valuable suggestion.This paper can not be completed without his continual encouragement.And the author also wants to thank to his classmates:Du Yi,Hu Junqi,Yang Yongfu.They give me a lot of help during the paper finished.

## 2 Preliminary

Suppose $(M,g)$ be a closed m-dimensional Riemainnian manifold. $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is its local coordinate.$(g^{ij})$ is the inverse matrix of $(g_{ij})$. Let $u$ be a smooth enough function on $M$. Using $\nabla_{i_s}\nabla_{i_{s-1}}\cdots\nabla_{i_1}u$ as $u$'s the $s$-order covariant derivation,here $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection to $g$.We use the following notations:

$$|\nabla^s u|_g^2 = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_s;j_1,\cdots,j_s=1}^{m} (g^{i_1j_1}\cdots g^{i_sj_s})(\nabla_{i_s}\cdots\nabla_{i_1}u)(\nabla_{j_s}\cdots\nabla_{j_1}u);$$

$$\|u\|_{L^p(M)} = (\int_M |u|^p dV_g)^{1/p}; \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^p(M)} = (\int_M |\nabla^s u|_g^p dV_g)^{1/p};$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(M)} = (\sum_{j=0}^{s} \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(M)}^p)^{1/p}; \|u\|_{C^0(M)} = max_M|u|;$$

$$\|\nabla^k u\|_{C^0(M)} = max_M|\nabla^k u|_g; \|u\|_{C^k(M)} = (\sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\nabla^j u\|_{C^0(M)}^2)^{1/2} \qquad (2.1)$$

here,$1 \leq p, s, k < \infty$.Denote $W^{s,p}(M), C^k(M)$ as the Banach space with the corresponded norms.Especially,denote $H^s(M)$ as $W^{s,2}(M)$.

Let $I = [0, T]$ be a interval of length $T$,with the metric $h$ and the arch length coordinate $\{t\}$.Then on $M \times I$ one can choose a local coordinate $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{m+1}$ where $x_{m+1} = t$.Then direct computation shows $\Gamma_{AB}^{C} = 0, R_{ABC}^{D} = 0$,if some of $A, B, C, D \in \{1, \cdots, m+1\}$ are $m+1$.Here, $\Gamma, R$ are the christoffel symbol and curvature operator of product metric $\bar{g} := g + h$.Using this result and Ricci identity, one can finds,to any smooth enough $(r, s)$ tensor field $A$ on $M \times I$ which has the form in local $A = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_r;j_1,\cdots,j_s=1}^{m} A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r}(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_r}} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes dx^{j_s}$, $\bar{\nabla}_i A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r} = \nabla_i A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r}, \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r}, i \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$,and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^l A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r} = \nabla^l \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{j_1\cdots j_s}^{i_1\cdots i_r} \tag{2.2}$$

here,$\bar{\nabla}$ is the corresponded connection of $\bar{g}$.Then we introduce the following norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^k u\|_{L^p([0,t],W^{s,q}(M))} &= \|\|\bar{\nabla}^k_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} u\|_{W^{s,q}(M)}\|_{L^p[0,t]} = \|\|\tfrac{\partial^k u}{\partial t^k}\|_{W^{s,q}(M)}\|_{L^p[0,t]} \\ \|u\|_{W^{k,p}([0,t],W^{s,q}(M))} &= (\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{k} \|\partial_t^i u\|^p_{L^p([0,t],W^{s,q}(M))})^{1/p} \\ \|u\|_{C^k([0,t],C^s(M))} &= (\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{k} \|\|\partial_t^i u\|^2_{C^s(M)}\|_{C^0[0,t]})^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and the space $L^p([0,t], W^{s,q}(M))$ is the completion of the $C^\infty(M \times [0,t])$ with this norm,$0 \leq k, s < \infty, 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$, here $u$ is a smooth enough function on $M \times I$ and $0 < t \leq T$. It is weakly star compact.

The following three Lemmas are classical in partial differential equation,we refer [Hörmander] p106-108 [Nirenberg] and [Zheng] p10-11,p186-187 for the detail proof.

**Lemma 2.1**(Galiardo-Nirenberg Inequality) Let $j, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq j < n$. Let $1 \leq q, r \leq +\infty, p \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{j}{n} \leq a \leq 1$,such that $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{j}{m} = a(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{n}{m}) + (1-a)\frac{1}{q}$.For any $u \in W^{n,r}(\mathbb{R}^m) \bigcap L^q(\mathbb{R}^m)$,there is a positive constant $C$ depending on $n, m, j, q, r, a$ such that

$$\|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C\|\nabla^n u\|^a_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^m)}\|u\|^{1-a}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^m)} \tag{2.4}$$

with a exception:if $1 < r < \infty, n - j - \frac{m}{r}$ is a nonnegative integer then the inequality hold only $\frac{j}{n} \leq a < 1$.

**Lemma 2.2** suppose $\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}, 1\leq r,p,q\leq\infty$,and suppose that all norms appearing what follows are bounded.Then for any integer $s\geq 0$,one has

$$\|\nabla^s(fg)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)}\|\nabla^s g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^m)}+\|\nabla^s f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^m)}\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)}) \tag{2.5}$$

here $C$ is not depend on $f,g$.

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose $F:\mathbb{R}^1\longmapsto\mathbb{R}^1$ is a smooth function satisfies $F(0)=0$.For any integer $s\geq 0$,if for $w\in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m), 1\leq p\leq\infty$ and $\|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)}\leq\nu_0$,then $F(w)\in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and

$$\|F(w)\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C\|F\|_{C^s([-\nu_0,\nu_0])}(1+\|w\|^s_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)})\|w\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)} \tag{2.6}$$

here,$C$ is not depend on $F,w$.

**Corollary 2.4** On a closed Reimainnian manifold $(M,g)$,replace $\mathbb{R}^m$ by $M$ in the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1-2.3, then the corresponded result becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\quad \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(M)}\leq C\|u\|^a_{W^{n,r}(M)}\|u\|^{1-a}_{L^q(M)}\\
&(2)\quad \|\nabla^s(fg)\|_{L^r(M)}\leq C(\|f\|_{L^p(M)}\|g\|_{W^{s,q}(M)}+\|f\|_{W^{s,q}(M)}\|g\|_{L^p(M)})\\
&\qquad \|\nabla^s<\nabla f,\nabla g>_g\|_{L^r(M)}\leq C(\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(M)}\|g\|_{W^{s+1,q}(M)}\\
&\qquad +\|f\|_{W^{s+1,q}(M)}\|g\|_{W^{1,p}(M)})\\
&(3)\quad \|F(w)\|_{W^{s,p}(M)}\leq C\|F\|_{C^s([-\nu_0,\nu_0])}(1+\|w\|^s_{C^0(M)})\|w\|_{W^{s,p}(M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

here $C$ also relates manifold $M$.

**Convention 2.5** Here, $W^{k,\infty}(M)$ which appears in the above understood as $C^k(M)$,namely $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,\infty}(M)}=\|\cdot\|_{C^k(M)}$.

**Proof of Corollary 2.4** The proof of (1)(2) are similar,we only prove (1).Since $M$ is compact,$M$ has finite partition of unit,namely $\{(U_i,\varphi_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, $\sum_{i=1}^N\varphi_i=1,\varphi\geq 0,\varphi_i\in C^\infty(M), supp\varphi_i\subset U_i$.Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_i u\|_{W^{n,r}(U_i)} &\leq C(\sum_{l=0}^n\sum_{k=0}^l\|\nabla^k u\|^r_{L^r(U_i)})^{1/r}\\
&\leq C(\sum_{k=0}^n\|\nabla^k u\|^r_{L^r(U_i)})^{1/r}\\
&\leq C\|u\|_{W^{n,r}(M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

by Lemma 2.1 and the norm equivalence on $U_i$,namely $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(U_i)}$ with the metric $g$ equivalence to $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(U_i)}$ with the standard Euclidean metric.One has

$$\|\nabla^j(\varphi_i u)\|_{L^p(U_i)} \leq C\|\varphi_i u\|^a_{W^{n,r}(U_i)}\|\varphi_i u\|^{1-a}_{L^q(U_i)} \tag{2.9}$$

therefoce,using (2.8)(2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(M)} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\nabla^j(\varphi_i u)\|_{L^p(U_i)} \\ &\leq C\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u\|^a_{W^{n,r}(M)}\|u\|^{1-a}_{L^q(M)} \\ &\leq C\|u\|^a_{W^{n,r}(M)}\|u\|^{1-a}_{L^q(M)} \qquad (2.10)\end{aligned}$$

This gives the result.To (3) the proof is similar to Lemma 2.3 since (1) is right.

**Remark 2.6** If the hypothesis of Corollary 2.4(1) becomes $1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq q, r \leq \infty, p \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{j-1}{n-1} \leq a \leq 1$, $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{j-1}{m} = a(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{n-1}{m}) + (1-a)\frac{1}{q}$, then (2.7)(1) becomes,

$$\|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C\|u\|^a_{W^{n,r}(M)}\|u\|^{1-a}_{W^{1,q}(M)} \tag{2.11}$$

and with the similar exception:if $1 < r < \infty, n - j - \frac{m}{r}$ is a nonnegative integer then the inequality hold only $\frac{j-1}{n-1} \leq a < 1$.

At last,we refer the following Lemma which is need in section 5.The detail proof can be found in [Zheng] chapter 3 p103.

**Lemma 2.7** Let $B, B^*$ be both Banach space, and $B$ is the dual of $B^*$.Suppose $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $u_n \longrightarrow u$ weakly star in $L^p([0,t], B)$,$u'_n \longrightarrow u'$ weakly star in $L^p([0,t], B)$,then $u_n(0) \longrightarrow u(0)$ weakly star in $B$.Here,$u'_n, u'$ are the derivation in the meaning of distribution(or current).

# 3 Induced Equation

The hypothesis of $(M, g), (N, h), \rho$ as in section 1, $\Sigma = M \times N$,then $g + h$ is the product metric on $\Sigma$.Then one can construct a new metric $K = \rho^n g +$

$\rho^{-m}h$.To some point $P$,let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}$ be the normal coordinate on $(M,g)$ and $(N,h)$,we conserve that $i,j,k,\cdots\in\{1\cdots m\},\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\cdots\in\{m+1\cdots m+n\}$ and $A,B,C,\cdots\in\{1,\cdots,m+n\}$.So,

$$g_{ij}(P)=\delta_{ij};\Gamma_{ij}^{k}(P)=0;h_{\alpha\beta}(P)=\delta_{\alpha\beta};\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(P)=0 \tag{3.1}$$

with this coordinate,

$$K_{ij}=\rho^n g_{ij};K_{\alpha\beta}=\rho^{-m}h_{\alpha\beta};K_{i\alpha}=0 \tag{3.2}$$

Denote $(g^{ij}),(h^{\alpha\beta}),(K^{AB})$ the inverse matrix of $(g_{ij}),(h_{\alpha\beta}),(K_{AB})$ respectively.And denote $\tilde{\Gamma}_{BC}^{A},\Gamma_{ij}^{k},\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$ the christoffel symbols to $K,g,h$ respectively. At point $P$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ABC} &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial K_{AC}}{\partial x_B}+\frac{\partial K_{BC}}{\partial x_A}-\frac{\partial K_{AB}}{\partial x_C}) && (3.3)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial K_{ik}}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial K_{jk}}{\partial x_i}-\frac{\partial K_{ij}}{\partial x_k})\\ &= \rho^n\Gamma_{ijk}+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial x_j}g_{ik}+\frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial x_i}g_{jk}-\frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial x_k}g_{ij}) && (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

then,at point $P$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{k} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m}K^{kl}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijl}=\rho^{-n}\sum_{l=1}^{m}g^{kl}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijl}\\ &= \Gamma_{ij}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}\delta_{ik}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\delta_{jk}-\sum_{l=1}^{m}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}g_{ij}g^{kl}) && (3.5)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\partial K_{ij}}{\partial y_\alpha})=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\rho^n}{\partial y_\alpha}g_{ij} && (3.6)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{\alpha} &= \sum_{\beta=m+1}^{m+n}K^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij\beta}=\rho^m\sum_{\beta=m+1}^{m+n}h^{\alpha\beta}(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\rho^n}{\partial y_\beta})g_{ij} && (3.7)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha jk} &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial K_{jk}}{\partial y_\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\rho^n}{\partial y_\alpha}g_{jk} && (3.8)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha j}^{k} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m}K^{kl}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha jl}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial y_\alpha}\delta_{jk} && (3.9)\\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{i\beta\gamma} &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial K_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial x_i}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}h_{\beta\gamma} && (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{i\beta} &= \sum_{\delta=m+1}^{m+n} K^{\gamma\delta}\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\beta\delta} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}\delta_{\gamma\beta} && (3.11)\\
\tilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} &= \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial y_\beta}\delta_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial y_\alpha}\delta_{\beta\gamma} - \sum_{\delta=m+1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial y_\delta}h_{\alpha\beta}h^{\gamma\delta}) && \\
& && (3.12)\\
\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta k} &= \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\partial K_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_k}) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \rho^{-m}}{\partial x_k}h_{\alpha\beta} && (3.13)\\
\tilde{\Gamma}^{k}_{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m} K^{kl}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta l} = \rho^{-n}\sum_{l=1}^{m} g^{kl}(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \rho^{-m}}{\partial x_l})h_{\alpha\beta} && (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) and (3.11),at point $P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{A=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}^{A}_{iA} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{\Gamma}^{k}_{ik} + \sum_{\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{i\beta}\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\Gamma^{k}_{ik} + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial ln\rho^{n}}{\partial x_i} + m\frac{\partial ln\rho^{n}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial ln\rho^{n}}{\partial x_i}) + \frac{n}{2}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\Gamma^{k}_{ik} = 0 && (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar,by (3.9) and (3.12),at point $P$,

$$\sum_{A=1}^{m+1}\tilde{\Gamma}^{A}_{\alpha A} = \sum_{\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \qquad (3.16)$$

In what follows,compute the Ricci curvature of point $P$.Denote $\tilde{R}_{AB}, R_{ij}, R_{\alpha\beta}$ the Ricci curvature of $K, g, h$,

$$\tilde{R}_{AB} = \sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^{C}_{AB}}{\partial x_C} - \sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^{C}_{AC}}{\partial x_B} + \sum_{C,D=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}^{D}_{AB}\tilde{\Gamma}^{C}_{DC} - \sum_{C,D=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}^{D}_{AC}\tilde{\Gamma}^{C}_{BD} \qquad (3.17)$$

First,compute $\tilde{R}_{ij}$,by (3.5),(3.7),at point $P$,

$$\sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^{C}_{ij}}{\partial x_C} = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^{k}_{ij}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{ij}}{\partial y_\alpha}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ij}^{k}}{\partial x_k}+\frac{\partial^2 ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\triangle_g ln\rho^n\\
&\quad+\sum_{\alpha,\gamma=m+1}^{m+n}(-\frac{1}{2}h^{\alpha\gamma}g_{ij})(\frac{\partial\rho^{m+n}}{\partial y_\alpha}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial y_\gamma}+\rho^{m+n}\frac{\partial^2 ln\rho^n}{\partial y_\alpha\partial y_\gamma})\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{k}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ij}^{k}}{\partial x_k}+\frac{\partial^2 ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\rho^{m+n}\triangle_h ln\rho^n\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}<\nabla_h\rho^{m+n},\nabla_h ln\rho^n>_h \qquad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Here,denote $\triangle_g,\triangle_h$ the Beltrami-Laplace operators to $(M,g),(N,h)$ respectively.Use $\nabla_g,\nabla_h$ as the covariant derivation to $M,N$,and $<\cdot,\cdot>_g,<\cdot,\cdot>_h$ as the metric $g,h$.And using (3.15),

$$\sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\frac{\partial\tilde{\Gamma}_{iC}^{C}}{\partial x_j}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{k}}{\partial x_j} \qquad (3.19)$$

By (3.15) and (3.16),at point $P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{C,D=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{D}\tilde{\Gamma}_{DC}^{C} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}_{kC}^{C}+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\sum_{C=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{\alpha}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha C}^{C}=0 \qquad (3.20)\\
\sum_{C,D=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{iC}^{D}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jD}^{C} &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\tilde{\Gamma}_{il}^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{l}+\sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{il}^{\alpha}\tilde{\Gamma}_{j\alpha}^{l}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\alpha}^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{\alpha}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\alpha}^{\beta}\tilde{\Gamma}_{j\beta}^{\alpha} \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5),at point $P$ one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\tilde{\Gamma}_{il}^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{l} &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\delta_{lk}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\delta_{ik}-\sum_{s=1}^{m}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_s}\delta_{sk}\delta_{il})\times\\
&\quad\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}\delta_{kl}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\delta_{jl}-\sum_{t=1}^{m}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_t}\delta_{tl}\delta_{jk})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{m}[\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}\delta_{kl}\delta_{kl}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}\delta_{ik}\delta_{kl}-\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}\delta_{il}\delta_{kl}\\
&\quad +\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\delta_{lk}\delta_{jl}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}-\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\delta_{il}\delta_{jl}\\
&\quad -\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\delta_{lk}\delta_{jk}-\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jk}+\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_l}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}]\\
&= \frac{1}{4}[(m+2)\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}-2|\nabla_g ln\rho^n|_g^2\delta_{ij}] \qquad (3.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,to a smooth enough function $u$, $|\nabla_g u|_g^2=\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij}\nabla_i u\nabla_j u$.Using (3.7) and (3.9),at point $P$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{il}^{\alpha}\tilde{\Gamma}_{j\alpha}^{l} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\rho^m h^{\alpha\beta}(-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\partial\rho^n}{\partial y_\beta}g_{il}\frac{1}{2}\delta_{jl}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial y_\alpha}\\
&= -\frac{1}{4}\rho^{m+n}\delta_{ij}|\nabla_h ln\rho^n|_h^2 \qquad (3.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,$|\nabla_h u|_h^2=\sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n} h^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha u\nabla_\beta u$,so,at point $P$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\alpha}^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{4}\rho^{m+n}\delta_{ij}|\nabla_h ln\rho^n|_h^2 \qquad (3.24)
$$

By (3.11),at point $P$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{i\alpha}^{\beta}\tilde{\Gamma}_{j\beta}^{\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n}\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_j}\\
&= \frac{n}{4}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_j} \qquad (3.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Insert (3.22)-(3.25) to (3.21),at point $P$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{C,D=1}^{m+n}\tilde{\Gamma}_{iC}^{D}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jD}^{C} &= \frac{1}{4}\{(m+2)\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^n}{\partial x_j}-2|\nabla_g ln\rho^n|_g^2\delta_{ij}\\
&\quad -2\rho^{m+n}\delta_{ij}|\nabla_h ln\rho^n|_h^2+n\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho^{-m}}{\partial x_j}\}\\
&= \frac{1}{4}\{n(nm+2n+m^2)\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_j}-2|\nabla_g ln\rho^n|_g^2\delta_{ij}\\
&\quad -2\rho^{m+n}|\nabla_h ln\rho^n|_h^2\delta_{ij}\} \qquad (3.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.17)-(3.20) and (3.26),at point $P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ij}^{k}}{\partial x_k}-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ik}^{k}}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial^2 ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\rho^{m+n}\triangle_h ln\rho^n\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}<\nabla_h\rho^{m+n},\nabla_h ln\rho^n>_h-\frac{1}{4}\{n(nm+2n+m^2)\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_j}\\
&\quad -2|\nabla_g ln\rho^n|_g^2\delta_{ij}-2\rho^{m+n}|\nabla_h ln\rho^n|_h^2\delta_{ij}\}\\
&= R_{ij}+\frac{\partial^2 ln\rho^n}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\rho^{m+n}\triangle_h ln\rho^n\\
&\quad -\frac{m+n}{2}g_{ij}\rho^{m+n}n|\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2-\frac{1}{4}n(nm+2n+m^2)\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial ln\rho}{\partial x_j}\\
&\quad +\frac{n^2}{2}|\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2\delta_{ij}+\frac{n^2}{2}|\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2\delta_{ij}\rho^{m+n}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.27),at point $P$ one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}K^{ij}\tilde{R}_{ij} &= \rho^{-n}R_g+\rho^{-n}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{m}{2}\rho^{-n}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{m}{2}\rho^m\triangle_h ln\rho^n\\
&\quad -\frac{mn}{2}m\rho^m|\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2-\frac{n}{4}\rho^{-n}(nm+2n+m^2)|\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2\\
&\quad +\frac{mn^2}{2}|\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2\rho^{-n}\\
&= \rho^{-n}R_g+\frac{2-m}{2}\rho^{-n}\triangle_g ln\rho^n-\frac{m}{2}\rho^m\triangle_h ln\rho^n\\
&\quad -\frac{n}{4}(-nm+2n+m^2)\rho^{-n}|\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2\\
&\quad -\frac{m^2n}{2}\rho^m|\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Here,$R_g, R_h$ denote the scalar curvature of $(M,g),(N,h)$.Since the position of $g$ and $h$ are symmetry,interchange $g$ and $h$,$\rho$ and $\rho^{-1}$,$m$ and $n$ of (3.28) at point $P$,one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n}K^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} &= \rho^m R_h+\frac{2-n}{2}(\triangle_h ln\rho^{-m})\rho^m-\frac{n}{2}\rho^{-n}\triangle_g ln\rho^{-m}\\
&\quad -\frac{m}{4}(-nm+2m+n^2)\rho^m|\nabla_h ln\rho|_h^2\\
&\quad -\frac{n^2m}{2}\rho^{-n}|\nabla_g ln\rho|_g^2
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Take $\tilde{R}$ as the scalar curvature of $(\Sigma, K)$,then at point $P$,by (3.28),(3.29) and

$$\tilde{R} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} K^{ij}\tilde{R}_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=m+1}^{m+n} K^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.30}$$

one has (1.1),and let $\rho = e^{2u}$, where $u$ is a smooth enough function on $\Sigma$.Then one has,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R} &= e^{-2nu}R_g + e^{2mu}R_h + 2ne^{-2nu}\triangle_g u - 2me^{2mu}\triangle_h u \\ &\quad -n(nm+2n+m^2)e^{-2nu}|\nabla_g u|_g^2 \\ &\quad -m(nm+2m+n^2)e^{2mu}|\nabla_h u|_h^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Since,the left and right hand sides of the above equation both are not depended on the choice of local coordinates on $\Sigma$,then it is a equation on manifold.If $n = 1$,simplify it,then one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R} &= e^{-2u}R_g + e^{2mu}R_h + 2e^{-2u}\triangle_g u - 2me^{2mu}\triangle_h u \\ &\quad -(m^2+m+2)e^{-2u}|\nabla_g u|_g^2 - m(3m+1)e^{2mu}|\nabla_h u|_h^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Since $n = 1, N$ becomes a curve.Suppose $t$ be $N$'s arch length parameter,then write $u$ as $u(x,t)$.So with this parameter,one has,

$$h(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = 1; \triangle_h u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}; \nabla_h u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}; R_h = 0 \tag{3.33}$$

By (3.32)(3.33),one obtains (1.2).Here,we take the conservation that $\triangle = \triangle_g, \nabla u = \nabla_g u, |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla_g u|_g^2, < \cdot,\cdot > = < \cdot,\cdot >_g$.And the following paragraph holds the conservation.

# 4 Prior Estimate:Energy Estimate

The idea of the energy estimate used here is inspired by [Smith&Tataru].Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(u,v) &= \frac{1}{2}[-e^{-2mv}\tilde{R} + e^{-2(m+1)v}R_g \\ &\quad -(m^2+m+2)e^{-2(m+1)v} < \nabla v, \nabla u > -m(3m+1)v_t u_t] \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

In this section,consider the prior estimate of the following equation,

$$mu_{tt} - e^{-2(m+1)v}\triangle u = F(u,v) \tag{4.2}$$

when $u = v$,(4.2) becomes to (1.2).Here,suppose $(M, g)$ be a closed Riemainnian manifold of m-dimension.$I = [0, T]$ is a interval of length T.And $u, v \in C^{s+1}(M \times I)$, $s > \frac{m}{2} + 1$.First estimate $\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}$,here $1 \leq l \leq s$.Then,by(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u \\
= \; & [e^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}F(u,v)] + [e^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}(e^{-2(m+1)v}\triangle u) - \nabla^{l-1}\triangle u] \\
& +[\nabla^{l-1}\triangle u - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u] \\
= \; & I + II + III
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In what follows,estimate $I, II, III$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \; & Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|\nabla^{l-1}[-e^{-2mv}\tilde{R} + e^{-2(m+1)v}R_g \\
& -(m^2+m+2)e^{-2(m+1)v} < \nabla v, \nabla u > -m(3m+1)v_t u_t]\|_{L^2(M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By Corollary 2.4 and Sobolev embedding theorem, $H^{s-1}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$ one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^{l-1}(e^{-2mv}\tilde{R})\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \; & \|\nabla^{l-1}[(e^{-2mv} - 1)\tilde{R}]\|_{L^2(M)} + \|\nabla^{l-1}\tilde{R}\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \; & C(\|e^{-2mv} - 1\|_{C^0(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{l-1}(M)} + \|e^{-2mv} - 1\|_{H^{l-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(M)}) \\
& +\|\nabla^{l-1}\tilde{R}\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \; & C\|e^{-2mv} - 1\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} + \|\nabla^{l-1}\tilde{R}\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \; & C\|e^{-2mw} - 1\|_{C^{s-1}[-\|v\|_{C^0(M)}, \|v\|_{C^0(M)}]}(1 + \|v\|^{s-1}_{C^0(M)})\|v\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \\
& +\|\nabla^{l-1}\tilde{R}\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \; & Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}(1 + \|v\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \\
\leq \; & Ce^{C\|v\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Similar,

$$\|\nabla^{l-1}(e^{-2(m+1)v}R_g)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq Ce^{C\|v\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}}\|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \tag{4.6}$$

Again,by Corollary 2.4 and sobolev embedding theorem, $H^{s-1}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$, $H^s(M) \hookrightarrow C^1(M)$ one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^{l-1}(e^{-2(m+1)v} < \nabla v, \nabla u >)\|_{L^2(M)} \\
= \ & C\|\nabla^{l-1} < \nabla(e^{-2(m+1)v} - 1), \nabla u > \|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq \ & C(\|e^{-2(m+1)v} - 1\|_{C^1(M)}\|u\|_{H^l(M)} + \|e^{-2(m+1)v} - 1\|_{H^l(M)}\|u\|_{C^1(M)}) \\
\leq \ & C\|e^{-2(m+1)v} - 1\|_{H^s(M)}\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \\
\leq \ & Ce^{\|v\|_{H^s(M)}}\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

the last step is similar to (4.5).And

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^{l-1}(v_t u_t)\|_{L^2(M)} & \leq C(\|v_t\|_{H^{l-1}(M)}\|u_t\|_{C^0(M)} + \|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}\|u_t\|_{H^{l-1}(M)}) \\
& \leq C\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Conservation that,

$$A_s = \|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} + \|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \qquad (4.9)$$

Then,by (4.4)-(4.9) and $H^{s-1}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$, one has,

$$\|I\|_{L^2(M)} \leq Ce^{C(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(A_s + \|u\|_{H^s(M)} + \|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}) \qquad (4.10)$$

For $II$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|II\|_{L^2(M)} & = \|e^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}(e^{-2(m+1)v}\triangle u) - \nabla^{l-1}\triangle u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
& = \|e^{2(m+1)v} \sum_{i+j=l-1, i\geq 1} \nabla^i(e^{-2(m+1)v})\nabla^j \triangle u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
& \leq Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}} \sum_{i+j=l-1, i\geq 1} \|\nabla^i(e^{-2(m+1)v} - 1)\nabla^j \triangle u\|_{L^2(M)}
\end{aligned} \qquad (4.11)$$

For fixed $i, j$, let,

$$p = \frac{l-1}{i-1}, q = \frac{l-1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2q} = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad (4.12)$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{2p} - \frac{i-1}{m} = \frac{i-1}{l-1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l-1}{m}), \frac{1}{2q} - \frac{j+1}{m} = \frac{j+1}{l-1}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l-1}{m}) \qquad (4.13)$$

Using Hölder inequality,Corollary 2.4,Remark 2.6 and Sobolev embedding theorem,one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^i(e^{-2(m+1)v}-1)\nabla^j\triangle u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq\ & \|\nabla^i(e^{-2(m+1)v}-1)\|_{L^{2p}(M)}\|\nabla^j\triangle u\|_{L^{2q}(M)} \\
\leq\ & C\|\nabla^i(e^{-2(m+1)v}-1)\|_{L^{2p}(M)}\|\nabla^{j+2}u\|_{L^{2q}(M)} \\
\leq\ & C\|e^{-2(m+1)v}-1\|_{H^l(M)}^{\frac{i-1}{l-1}}\|e^{-2(m+1)v}-1\|_{C^1(M)}^{\frac{l-i}{l-1}}\|u\|_{H^l(M)}^{\frac{j+1}{l-1}}\|u\|_{C^1(M)}^{\frac{l-j-2}{l-1}} \\
\leq\ & C\|e^{-2(m+1)v}-1\|_{H^s(M)}^{\frac{i-1}{l-1}}\|e^{-2(m+1)v}-1\|_{H^s(M)}^{\frac{l-i}{l-1}}\|u\|_{H^s(M)}^{\frac{j+1}{l-1}}\|u\|_{H^s(M)}^{\frac{l-j-2}{l-1}} \\
\leq\ & C\|e^{-2(m+1)v}-1\|_{H^s(M)}\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \\
\leq\ & Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|v\|_{H^s(M)}\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|II\|_{L^2(M)} \leq Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|v\|_{H^s(M)}\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \qquad (4.15)$$

For $III$,denote $B_{i_1\cdots i_k}=\nabla_{i_k}\cdots\nabla_{i_1}u$, by the Ricci identity,

$$(\nabla_p\nabla_q-\nabla_q\nabla_p)B_{i_1\cdots i_k}=-\sum_{t=1}^{k}\sum_{n=1}^{m}R^n_{pqi_t}B_{i_1\cdots i_{t-1}ni_{t+1}\cdots i_k} \qquad (4.16)$$

here,all index take values in $\{1,\cdots,m\}$,then direct computation gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_j\triangle B_{i_1\cdots i_k} \\
=\ & \triangle\nabla_j B_{i_1\cdots i_k}-\sum_{p,q,n=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{k}g^{pq}\nabla_p R^n_{jqi_t}B_{i_1\cdots i_{t-1}ni_{t+1}\cdots i_k} \\
& -\sum_{p,q,n=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{k}g^{pq}R^n_{jqi_t}\nabla_p B_{i_1\cdots i_{t-1}ni_{t+1}\cdots i_k}-\sum_{p,q,n=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{k}g^{pq}R^n_{jpi_t}\nabla_q B_{i_1\cdots i_{t-1}ni_{t+1}i_k} \\
& -\sum_{p,q,n=1}^{m}g^{pq}R^n_{jpq}\nabla_n B_{i_1\cdots i_k} \qquad (4.17)
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.17),one can obtain,if $0\leq\tilde{k}$,

$$\|\nabla^{\tilde{k}}(\nabla\triangle B-\triangle\nabla B)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{k+\tilde{k}+1}(M)} \qquad (4.18)$$

here, $C$ is a positive constant depended only on manifold $M$,then iterate (4.18),one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\triangle\nabla^{l-2}u-\triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)} &\leq C\|u\|_{H^{l-1}(M)}\\
\|\nabla^2\triangle\nabla^{l-3}u-\nabla\triangle\nabla^{l-2}u\|_{L^2(M)} &\leq C\|u\|_{H^{l-1}(M)}\\
&\cdots\cdots\\
\|\nabla^{l-1}\triangle u-\nabla^{l-2}\triangle u\|_{L^2(M)} &\leq C\|u\|_{H^{l-1}(M)} \qquad (4.19)
\end{aligned}$$

adding the left and right hand sides of (4.19),then one obtains

$$\|III\|_{L^2(M)}\leq C\|u\|_{H^s(M)} \qquad (4.20)$$

By (4.3)(4.9)(4.10)(4.15)(4.20) and Sobolev embedding theorem one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt}-\triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}\\
\leq\ & C_1e^{C_2(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H_{s-1}(M)})}(A_s+\|u\|_{H^s(M)}+\|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}) \qquad (4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

here,$C_1, C_2$ are positive constants depended on $s$,manifold $M$,not depended on $\tilde{R}, u$.

Then we do energy estimate.One can introduce the following energy functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1^{(l)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M[|\nabla^l u|^2+me^{2(m+1)v}|\nabla^{l-1}u_t|^2]dV \qquad (4.22)\\
E_2^{(l)}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M[e^{-2(m+1)v}|\nabla^l u|^2+m|\nabla^{l-1}u_t|^2]dV \qquad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

and let,

$$E_s(t)=\sum_{l=1}^{s}(E_1^{(l)}(t)+E_2^{(l)}(t))+\frac{1}{2}\int_M|u|^2dV \qquad (4.24)$$

Obvious,

$$\|u\|_{H^s(M)}+\|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\leq C(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (4.25)$$

To (4.22),take derivation respect to $t$,by (2.2) one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}E_1^{(l)}(t) &= \int_M[<\nabla^l u_t,\nabla^l u>+m(m+1)e^{2(m+1)v}v_t|\nabla^{l-1}u_t|^2\\
&\quad +me^{2(m+1)v}<\nabla^{l-1}u_t,\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt}>]dV\\
&\leq C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_1^{(l)}(t)+\int_M[<\nabla^l u_t,\nabla^l u>\\
&\quad +<\nabla^{l-1}u_t,me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt}>]dV \qquad (4.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $M$ is closed,$\int_M div < \nabla^{l-1}u_t, \nabla\nabla^{l-1}u > dV = 0$.This implies,

$$\int_M < \nabla^l u_t, \nabla^l u > dV = -\int_M < \nabla^{l-1}u_t, \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u > dV \qquad (4.27)$$

then,by (4.26),and Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}E_1^{(l)}(t)\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_1^{(l)}(t) + \int_M < \nabla^{l-1}u_t, me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u > dV\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_1^{(l)}(t) + \|\nabla^{l-1}u_t\|_{L^2(M)}\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_1^{(l)}(t)\\
& +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}(E_1^{(l)}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}E_2^{(l)}(t)\\
=\ & \int_M [-e^{-2(m+1)v}(m+1)v_t|\nabla^l u|^2 + < e^{-2(m+1)v}\nabla^l u, \nabla^l u_t >\\
& + < \nabla^{l-1}u_t, m\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} >]dV\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_2^{(l)}(t)\\
& + \int_M [< \nabla^{l-1}u_t, m\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} > - < \nabla^{l-1}u_t, e^{-2(m+1)v}\triangle\nabla^{l-1}u >]dV\\
& +2(m+1)\int_M e^{-2(m+1)v} < \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij}\nabla_i v\nabla_j\nabla^{l-1}u, \nabla^{l-1}u_t > dV\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_2^{(l)}(t) + \|\nabla^{l-1}u_t\|_{L^2(M)}\|m\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - e^{-2(m+1)v}\triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}\\
& +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|\sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{ij}\nabla_i v\nabla_j\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}\|\nabla^{l-1}u_t\|_{L^2(M)}\\
\leq\ & C\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}E_2^{(l)}(t)\\
& +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}(E_2^{(l)}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
& +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|\nabla v\|_{C^0(M)}\|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2(M)}\|\nabla^{l-1}u_t\|_{L^2(M)}\\
\leq\ & Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}(\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)} + \|\nabla v\|_{C^0(M)})E_2^{(l)}(t)\\
& +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt} - \triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}(E_2^{(l)}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (4.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.28)(4.29),one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}E_s(t) &\le Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}(\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}+\|\nabla v\|_{C^0(M)})E_s(t)\\ &\quad +Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}\sum_{l=1}^{s}\|me^{2(m+1)v}\nabla^{l-1}u_{tt}-\triangle\nabla^{l-1}u\|_{L^2(M)}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\quad +\int_M uu_t dV\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\int_M uu_t dV \le CE_s(t)\tag{4.31}$$

then by (4.21)(4.25)(4.30)(4.31) and Sobolev embedding theorem,one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}E_s(t)\\ \le\ & Ce^{C\|v\|_{C^0(M)}}(1+\|v_t\|_{C^0(M)}+\|\nabla v\|_{C^0(M)})E_s(t)\\ & +Ce^{C(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(A_s+\|u\|_{H^s(M)}+\|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ \le\ & Ce^{C(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(A_s+\|u\|_{H^s(M)}+\|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}+(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}})(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ \le\ & Ce^{C(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(A_s+(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}})(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

Then obvious,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C_1e^{C_2(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(A_s+(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}})\tag{4.33}$$

here,$C_1,C_2$ related to manifold $M$ and $s$.Then using (4.33),one has the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.1** Suppose $(M,g)$ be m-dimensional closed Reimainnian manifold,and $I=[0,T]$ be a interval of length $T$.Let $u,v\in C^{s+1}(M\times I)$,$s>\frac{m}{2}+1$,and $u,v$ satisfy (4.2) then:
(i)If exist a positive constant $D>2\sqrt{2}[1+(E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}]$.There is $t_0>0$ which is related to manifold $M$,$s$, $\|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))}$, $D, E_s(0)$, such that

$$\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \le D\tag{4.34}$$

held in $0 \le t \le t_0$ implies

$$\|u\|_{H^s(M)} + \|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \quad \le \quad D \tag{4.35}$$

held in $0 \le t \le t_0$
(ii)If $u = v$ which means $u$ satisfy (1.2),then exist $t_0, \tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^s(M)} + \|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \quad \le \quad \tilde{C} \tag{4.36}$$

holds in $0 \le t \le t_0$.Here,$t_0, \tilde{C}$ relates to manifold $M$,$s$, $\|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))}$, $E_s(0)$, and $\tilde{C}$ also relates to $t_0$.
**Proof** (i) Using (4.9) and (4.33),

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \le \quad C_3 e^{C_2(\|v\|_{H^s(M)}+\|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})}(1 + (E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{4.37}$$

here, $C_3$ related to manifold $M$,$s$,and extra $\|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))}$.Take $t_0$, such that

$$2\sqrt{2}[1 + (E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}]e^{C_3 e^{C_2 D} t_0} \quad \le \quad D \tag{4.38}$$

Then in $0 \le t \le t_0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \le \quad C_3 e^{C_2 D}(1 + (E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{4.39}$$

Integrate (4.39) one has,

$$(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \le [1 + (E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}]e^{C_3 e^{C_2 D} t} \le \frac{D}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{4.40}$$

This implies the result by (4.25).
(ii)Let $v = u$ in (4.37),then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \le \quad C_3 e^{C_2 (E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{4.41}$$

Integrate it,

$$e^{-C_2 (E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \ge \quad e^{-C_2 (E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}} - C_3 C_2 t \tag{4.42}$$

Hence,when $t_0 < \frac{e^{-C_2(E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{C_3C_2}$,one has,

$$(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{C_2} ln \frac{1}{e^{-C_2(E_s(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}} - C_3C_2t_0} \tag{4.43}$$

This gives what we want.

**Proposition 4.2** Suppose $(M, g)$ be m-dimensional closed Reimainnian manifold,whose scalar curvature is zero,and $I = [0, T]$ be a interval of length $T$.Let $u, v \in C^{s+1}(M \times I)$, $s > \frac{m}{2} + 1$. $u, v$ satisfy (4.2),and $u(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = 0$ to any $x \in M$.Then to any given positive constant $D$,exist constant $\varepsilon > 0$ which depends on manifold $M, s, D$, such that

$$\|v\|_{H^s(M)} + \|v_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \leq D \tag{4.44}$$

held in $I$ implies

$$\|u\|_{H^s(M)} + \|u_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \leq D \tag{4.45}$$

held in $I$ when $\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \leq \varepsilon$.
**Proof** Take $\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon e^{C_1 e^{C_2 D} T} \leq \frac{D}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{4.46}$$

here,$C_1, C_2$ are constant of (4.33).Since $R_g = 0$,$A_s = \|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}$, so by (4.33) and (4.44),

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 D}(\varepsilon + (E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{4.47}$$

Integrate (4.47)

$$(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon e^{C_1 e^{C_2 D} T} \leq \frac{D}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{4.48}$$

and using (4.25),then one gets the result.

# 5 Linear Equation

In this section we consider the linear equation (1.3) on the condition:

$$u(x,0)=\varphi(x), u_t(x,0)=\psi(x) \tag{5.1}$$

here, $(M,g)$ the m-dimensional closed Reimainnian manifold, $I=[0,T]$ interval of length $T$,and $a,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,f\in C^\infty(M\times I),\varphi,\psi\in C^\infty(M)$, and exist positive constant $L$,such that $\frac{1}{L}\leq\alpha\leq L$.In what follows, we discuss the existence and property of the solution.If $M$ is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then the following results are classical in linear hyperbolic equation(c.f.[Hömander] and [Xin]).We follow their method. First,give some prior estimates. Multiple $u_t$ in (1.3),and integrate on $M$.Since $M$ is closed, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\int_M\alpha|\nabla u|^2dV)\\ \leq\ &\|f\|_{L^2(M)}\|u_t\|_{L^2(M)}-\int_M u_t<\nabla(\alpha-\beta),\nabla u>dV+C\int_M|\nabla u|^2dV\\ &+C\|u\|_{L^2(M)}\|u_t\|_{L^2(M)}+C\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Using,

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(M)}\ \leq\ \int_0^t\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}d\tau+\|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(M)} \tag{5.3}$$

one has,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(M)}\\ \leq\ &C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(M)}+\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\int_0^t(\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\|f\|^2_{L^2(M)})d\tau]\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Integrate it,one has

$$\|u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(M)}\ \leq\ C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(M)}+\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(M)}+\int_0^t\|f\|^2_{L^2(M)}d\tau] \tag{5.5}$$

Multiple $-\triangle u_t$ in (1.3),integrate on $M$,and using (5.3)(5.5) $W^{k,p}$ estimate of elliptic equation,one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \frac{1}{L}\|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} + 2\int_M (\triangle u) f dV \\
\leq\ & C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \int_0^t (\|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|f\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
&+\|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}) d\tau] \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $2\int_M (\triangle u) f \leq \frac{1}{2L}\|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} + C\|f\|^2_{L^2(M)}$ and,

$$\|f(t)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \leq \int_0^t \|f_t(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(M)} d\tau + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \qquad (5.7)$$

One has,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
\leq\ & C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \int_0^t (\|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
&+\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)}) d\tau] \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate it,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)} \leq\ & C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
&+ \int_0^t \|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} d\tau] \qquad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Take derivation to $t$ in (1.3),and multiple $u_{tt}$ in it,integrate on $M$,using (5.3)(5.5)(5.7) one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_{tt}\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\nabla u_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} \leq\ & C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
&+ \int_0^t (\|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} + \|\triangle u\|^2_{L^2(M)}) d\tau] \qquad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.3)(5.5)(5.9)(5.10),one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{2} \|u\|_{W^{i,\infty}(I,H^{2-i}(M))} \leq\ & C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\
&+ \int_0^T \|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} d\tau] \qquad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $C$ is a constant depended only on manifold $M, L, T, a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$.

Then,use the Faedo-Galerkin method to give the existence of (1.3).Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots$ be eginvalues of laplace operator on Reimainnian manifold $M$,and $w_i$ be corresponded eginvectors,namely $\triangle w_i + \lambda_i w_i = 0, i \in \{0, 1, \cdots\}$.By Chapter 3 p87 of [Schoen&Yau],one knows that $w_i$ which are smooth functions on $M$ are complete standard orthonormal basis of $L^2(M)$ and also are complete orthogonal basis of $H^1(M)$,and $\lambda \geq 0$.Then we give approximate sequence $u_n(x,t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i(t) w_i(x)$.In what follows,we denote $(,)$ the inner product of $L^2(M)$.Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & ((u_n)_{tt}, w_j) + (a(u_n)_t, w_j) - (\alpha \triangle u_n + < \nabla\beta, \nabla u_n > + \gamma u_n, w_j) \\ = \; & (f, w_j), 0 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

By the expenssion of $u_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_j''(t) + \sum_{i=0}^n (a w_i, w_j)\eta_i' + \sum_{i=0}^n (\alpha\lambda_i w_i - < \nabla\beta, \nabla w_i > -\gamma w_i, w_j)\eta_i \\ = \; & f_j := (f, w_j), 0 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

and on the condition,

$$\eta_j(0) = \varphi_j := (\varphi, w_j), \eta_j'(0) = \psi_j := (\psi, w_j) \tag{5.14}$$

This means

$$u_n(0) = \sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i(0) w_i = \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi_i w_i, u_n'(0) = \sum_{i=0}^n \psi_i w_i \tag{5.15}$$

So,let $A_{ij}(t) = (\alpha\lambda_i w_i - < \nabla\beta, \nabla w_i > -\gamma w_i, w_j), B_{ij}(t) = (a w_i, w_j)$, then (5.13)(5.14) give a linear ordinary differential system with initial data.By the theory of ordinary differential equation.(5.13)(5.14) have a unique smooth solution $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_n)$.

Obvious, $u_n(0) \longrightarrow \varphi$ in $L^2(M)$,$u_n'(0) \longrightarrow \psi$ in $L^2(M)$.Then using the closeness of $M$,

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^1(M)}^2 = (\varphi - \triangle\varphi, \varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (1 + \lambda_i)|\varphi_i|^2 < +\infty \tag{5.16}$$

This implies $u_n(0) \longrightarrow \varphi$ in $H^1(M)$.Similar $u_n'(0) \longrightarrow \psi$ in $H^1(M)$.And

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2(M)}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^\infty (1 + \lambda_i)|\varphi_i|^2 + \int_M < -\triangle\nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi > dV \tag{5.17}$$

By the Ricci identity,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M < -\triangle\nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi > dV &= \int_M [< -\nabla\triangle\varphi, \nabla\varphi > \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j,p,q=1}^{m} g^{ij} g^{pq} R_{ip} \nabla_j\varphi\nabla_q\varphi] dV \\ &\geq (\triangle^2\varphi, \varphi) - C\|\varphi\|^2_{H^1(M)} \qquad (5.18)\end{aligned}$$

Hence,$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(1+\lambda_i+\lambda_i^2)|\varphi|^2 \leq C\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)}$.And,similar

$$\|u_n(0)-\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}(1+\lambda_i+\lambda_i^2)|\varphi_i|^2 + C\|u_n(0)-\varphi\|^2_{H^1(M)} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (5.19)$$

when $n \longrightarrow \infty$.Then $u_n(0) \longrightarrow \varphi$ in $H^2(M)$. In (5.12),multiple $\eta_j'$,and sum up $j$ from 0 to $n$;multiple $\lambda_j\eta_j'$, and sum up $j$ from 0 to $n$;take derivation to $t$ then multiple $\eta_j''$ and sum up $j$ from 0 to $n$,similar to (5.11) one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{2}\|u_n\|_{W^{i,\infty}(I,H^{2-i}(M))} &\leq C[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^2(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^1(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{L^2(M)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \|f_t\|^2_{L^2(M)} d\tau] \qquad (5.20)\end{aligned}$$

This means $u_n$ are uniformly bounded in $\bigcap_{i=0}^{2} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{2-i}(M))$.So exist some $u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{2} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{2-i}(M))$, and $u_n \longrightarrow u$ weakly star in $L^\infty(I, H^2(M))$; $(u_n)_t \longrightarrow u_t$ weakly star in $L^\infty(I, H^1(M))$; $(u_n)_{tt} \longrightarrow u_{tt}$ weakly star in $L^\infty(I, L^2(M))$. This means $((u_n)_{tt}, w_j)+(a(u_n)_t, w_j)-(\alpha\triangle u_n+ < \nabla\beta, \nabla u_n > +\gamma u_n, w_j) \longrightarrow ((u)_{tt}, w_j) + (au_t, w_j) - (\alpha\triangle u+ < \nabla\beta, \nabla u > +\gamma u, w_j)$ weakly star in $L^\infty[0,T]$.Hence $u$ is the solution of (1.3).And by Lemma 2.7,$u$ satisfies (5.1).So one has

**Lemma 5.1** Suppose $f \in W^{1,\infty}(I, L^2(M)), a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^\infty(M \times I), \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$,then there is a unique solution of (1.3)(5.1) in $\bigcap_{i=0}^{2} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{2-i}(M))$ and it has prior estimate (5.11). Here, $(M,g)$ is a m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold, $I = [0,T]$ is a interval of length $T$.

In what follows,we discuss the regularity of the solution of (1.3).This comes from the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.2** The hypothesis of $M, I$ are same to the above Lemma.And let $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, N \geq 2$,$a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^\infty(M \times I), \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M)$, $f \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N-1} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{N-1-i}(M))$,then the solution of (1.3)(5.1) $u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{N} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{N-i}(M))$,and $u$ satisfy the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^i u\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} &\leq C_N(\|\varphi\|^2_{H^N(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{H^{N-2}(M)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i f\|^2_{H^{N-i-1}(M)} d\tau) \qquad (5.21)\end{aligned}$$

here,$C_N$ depends only on $N$ and manifold $M, L, T, a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$.
**Proof** We use induction to prove this Lemma.When $N = 2$,this is the result of Lemma 5.1.Then one suppose this is true of case $N$,and consider the Lemma of case $N+1$.Take derivation to $t$ in (1.3),and denote $v = u_t$,then

$$\begin{aligned}& v_{tt} + av_t - (\alpha \triangle v + < \nabla\beta, \nabla v > + \gamma v) \\ = \ & f_t - a_t v + \alpha_t \triangle(\varphi + \int_0^t v d\tau) + < \nabla\beta_t, \nabla(\varphi + \int_0^t v d\tau) > \\ & + \gamma_t(\varphi + \int_0^t v d\tau) \qquad (5.22)\end{aligned}$$

Introduce the following approximate sequence $\{v_q\}_{q=0}^{\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned}& (v_{q+1})_{tt} + a(v_{q+1})_t - (\alpha \triangle v_{q+1} + < \nabla\beta, \nabla v_{q+1} > + \gamma v_{q+1}) \\ = \ & f_t - a_t v_q + \alpha_t \triangle(\varphi + \int_0^t v_q d\tau) + < \nabla\beta_t, \nabla(\varphi + \int_0^t v_q d\tau) > \\ & + \gamma_t(\varphi + \int_0^t v_q d\tau) \qquad (5.23)\end{aligned}$$

on the condition that $v_0 = 0$, $v_{q+1}(x, 0) = \psi(x)$, $v'_{q+1}(x, 0) = f(x, 0) - a(x, 0)\psi(x) + \alpha(x, 0)\triangle\varphi(x) + < \nabla\beta(x, 0), \nabla\varphi(x) > + \gamma(x, 0)\varphi(x)$.Then using

(5.21)(5.23),one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^i (v_{q+1} - v_q)\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \\
\leq\ & C_N \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i [-a_t (v_q - v_{q-1}) + \alpha_t \triangle \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau' \\
&+ < \nabla \beta_t, \nabla \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau' > + \gamma_t \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau'] \|^2_{H^{N-i-1}(M)} d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Since,when $i \geq 1, q \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t^i [\alpha_t \triangle \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau'] \|^2_{H^{N-i-1}(M)} \\
\leq\ & \sum_{j=0}^{i} \|\partial_t^j \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau'] \|^2_{H^{N-i+1}(M)} \\
\leq\ & \sum_{j=0}^{i} \underbrace{\int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t}_{i-j} \|\partial_t^i \int_0^t (v_q - v_{q-1}) d\tau'] \|^2_{H^{N-i+1}(M)} d\tau_1 \cdots d\tau_{i-j} \\
\leq\ & C_N \int_0^t \|\partial_t^{i-1} (v_q - v_{q-1})\|^2_{H^{N-i+1}(M)} d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Similar estimate is right to the other three terms of (5.24).Then using (5.24)(5.25), one has,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^i (v_{q+1} - v_q)\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \leq C_N \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i (v_q - v_{q-1})\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \tag{5.26}$$

Denote $h_{q+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i (v_{q+1} - v_q)\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)}$,the above equation gives $h_{q+1}(t) \leq C_N \int_0^t h_q(t) d\tau$,and denote $C(T) = \|h_2\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}$.Then by iteration,one can obtain $h_{q+1}(t) \leq C(T) \frac{(C_N t)^{q-1}}{(q-1)!}$.This implies:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^i (v_{q+1} - v_q)\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \leq C(T) \frac{(C_N t)^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} \tag{5.27}$$

Then,$\{v_q\}_{q=0}^{\infty}$ convergence to some $v$ in $\bigcap_{i=0}^{N} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{N-i}(M))$,and $v$ satisfy (5.22) with the condition $v(0) = \psi, v'(0) = f(0) - a(0)\psi + \alpha(0)\triangle\varphi + < \nabla\beta(0), \nabla\varphi > +\gamma(0)\varphi$.Hence,$u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{N} W^{i+1,\infty}(I, H^{N-i}(M))$.By the $W^{k,p}$ estimate of elliptic equation,and (1.3), one has $u \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{N+1} W^{i,\infty}(I, H^{N+1-i}(M))$, and $v$ satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^i v\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \\
\leq\ & C_N[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^{N+1}(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^N(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i f_t\|^2_{H^{N-i-1}(M)} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} (\|\partial_t^j v\|^2_{H^{N-i-1}(M)} + \|\partial_t^j(\varphi + \int_0^t v d\tau')\|^2_{H^{N-i+1}(M)}) d\tau] \\
\leq\ & C_N[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^{N+1}(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^N(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} + \int_0^t \sum_{i=2}^{N} \|\partial_t^i f\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^i v\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} d\tau] \qquad (5.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate it,and $v = u_t$, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^{i+1} u\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} \leq\ & C_N[\|\varphi\|^2_{H^{N+1}(M)} + \|\psi\|^2_{H^N(M)} + \|f(0)\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} \\
& + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_t^i f\|^2_{H^{N-i}(M)} d\tau] \qquad (5.29)
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\|f\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} \leq \int_0^t \|f_t\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} d\tau + \|f(0)\|^2_{H^{N-1}(M)} \qquad (5.30)$$

Using (1.3),the $W^{k,p}$ estimate of elliptic equation,(5.29)(5.30),one can obtains the inequality (5.21) in the case of $N+1$.This complete the proof.

**Proposition 5.3** The hypothesis of $M, I$ as above.Suppose $f, a, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^{\infty}(M \times I), \varphi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(M)$.Then (1.3)(5.1) have a unique smooth solution and it satisfies (5.21).

**Proof** It is obvious from Lemma 5.1 and 5.2.

# 6 Solution of Equation in Some Cases

The idea of this section can be found in [Hömander],[John],[Klainerman]. Suppose $(M,g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold,$I=[0,T]$ is a interval of length $T$.By Proposition 5.3,one can introduce the Picard iterate sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ as follows,

$$m(u_{n+1})_{tt}-e^{-2(m+1)u_n}\triangle u_{n+1} \quad = \quad F(u_{n+1},u_n) \tag{6.1}$$

with the condition $u_{n+1}(x,0)=\varphi(x),(u_{n+1})_t(x,0)=\psi(x),\varphi,\psi\in C^\infty(M),u_1=0$.Here, $F$ is according to (4.1).Let $s>\frac{m}{2}+1$,and we suppose that exist $\tilde{T}\le T$ such that in $[0,\tilde{T}]$,exist positive constant $D$ satisfying,to any $n$,

$$\|u_n\|_{H^{s+1}(M)}+\|(u_n)_t\|_{H^s(M)} \quad \le \quad D \tag{6.2}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(u,v) \quad = \quad & [1-e^{2(m+1)(u-v)}](R_g+2\triangle u)-(m^2+m+2)[<\nabla(u-v),\nabla u> \\ & +(1-e^{2(m+1)(u-v)})<\nabla v,\nabla u>]-m(3m+1)e^{2(m+1)u}u_t(u-v)_t \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & m(u_{n+1}-u_n)_{tt}-e^{-2(m+1)u_n}\triangle(u_{n+1}-u_n) \\ = \quad & F(u_{n+1},u_n)-F(u_n,u_{n-1})+(e^{-2(m+1)u_n}-e^{-2(m+1)u_{n-1}})\triangle u_n \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{2}\{-e^{-2mu_n}(1-e^{2m(u_n-u_{n-1})})\tilde{R}+e^{-2(m+1)u_n}G(u_n,u_{n-1}) \\ & -(m^2+m+2)e^{-2(m+1)u_n}<\nabla u_n,\nabla(u_{n+1}-u_n)> \\ & -m(3m+1)(u_n)_t(u_{n+1}-u_n)_t\} \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

with the condition that $(u_{n+1}-u_n)(x,0)=(u_{n+1}-u_n)_t(x,0)=0$.So,we replace $u,v,\tilde{R},R_g$ by $u_{n+1}-u_n,u_n,(1-e^{2m(u_n-u_{n-1})})\tilde{R},G(u_n,u_{n-1})$ respectively in (4.1),using (4.9),one has,

$$A_s \quad = \quad \|(1-e^{2m(u_n-u_{n-1})})\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}+\|G(u_n,u_{n-1})\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \tag{6.5}$$

and using (6.2),and Corollary 2.4,and Sobolev embedding theorems,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1-e^{2m(u_n-u_{n-1})})\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \\ \le \quad & C\|1-e^{2m(u_n-u_{n-1})}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \\ \le \quad & Ce^{C\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}}\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \\ \le \quad & Ce^{CD}\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

and similar,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(u_n,u_{n-1})\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\\
\leq\ & Ce^{CD}\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}(\|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}+D)+CD\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^s(M)}\\
&+Ce^{CD}\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}D^2+CD(1+e^{CD})\|(u_n-u_{n-1})_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}
\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

Then,By (6.5)-(6.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
A_s\ \leq\ & Ce^{CD}(D+\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}+\|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})\\
&\times(\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^s(M)}+\|(u_n-u_{n-1})_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})
\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

Then,using (4.33) and (6.2),

$$\frac{d(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{dt}\ \leq\ Ce^{CD}(A_s+(E_s(t))^{\frac{1}{2}})\tag{6.9}$$

here,do correspond replacement which is stated above in $E_s(t)$.Then,integrate (6.9),and using (6.8),one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^s(M)}+\|(u_n-u_{n-1})_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)}\\
\leq\ & C_4e^{C_5e^{C_6DT}}(D+\|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))}+\|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})\\
&\times\int_0^t(\|u_n-u_{n-1}\|_{H^s(M)}+\|(u_n-u_{n-1})_t\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})
\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

here, $C_4,C_5,C_6$ only relates to manifold $M$ and $s$.

**Proposition 6.1** Suppose $(M,g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold, $I=[0,T]$ be a interval of length $T$, and $\tilde{R}\in C^\infty(M\times I)$.To any integer $k\geq 2$,exist $0<t_0\leq T$,such that equation (1.2) has a solution $u\in C^k(M\times[0,t_0])$,and it satisfies $u(x,0)=\varphi(x),u_t(x,0)=\psi(x)$.Here, $\varphi(x),\psi(x)\in C^\infty(M)$ are two prescribed functions.And $t_0$ relates to manifold $M,k,\tilde{R},\varphi,\psi$.

**Proof** Take $s=[\frac{m}{2}+k+1]$ (i.e.the biggest integer not bigger than $\frac{m}{2}+k+1$ ),then $H^s(M)\hookrightarrow C^k(M)$. By Proposition 4.1(i),to any given $\varphi,\psi$,take $D$ to satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
D\ >\ & 2\sqrt{2}[1+(\sum_{l=1}^{s+1}\frac{1}{2}\int_M[|\nabla^l\varphi|^2+me^{2(m+1)\varphi}|\nabla^{l-1}\psi|^2+e^{-2(m+1)\varphi}|\nabla^l\varphi|^2\\
&+m|\nabla^{l-1}\psi|^2]dV+\frac{1}{2}\int_M|\varphi|^2dV)^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

then exist $t_1$,in $[0,t_1]$,(4.34) implies (4.35).To $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which is constructed in (6.1), obvious $D \geq \|u_1\|_{H^{s+1}(M)} + \|(u_1)_t\|_{H^s(M)} = 0$,so by iteration,one has (6.2).Then take $t_0 \leq t_1$ small enough such that,

$$C_4 e^{C_5 e^{C_6 D T}}(D + \|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{s-1}(M)} + \|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})t_0 \;<\; 1 \qquad (6.12)$$

$C_4, C_5, C_6$ are constants in (6.10).Then using (6.10),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{C^0([0,t_0],H^s(M))} + \|(u_n - u_{n-1})_t\|_{C^0([0,t_0],H^{s-1}(M))} \\ \leq\; & C_4 e^{C_5 e^{C_6 D T}}(D + \|\tilde{R}\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))} + \|R_g\|_{H^{s-1}(M)})t_0 \\ & \times(\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{C^0([0,t_0],H^s(M))} + \|(u_n - u_{n-1})_t\|_{C^0([0,t_0],H^{s-1}(M))}) \end{aligned} \qquad (6.13)$$

This implies $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ and $\{(u_n)_t\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ are convergence to some $u, u_t$ in $C^0([0,t_0], H^s(M))$,$C^0([0,t_0], H^{s-1}(M))$ respectively. Obvious $u_n \longrightarrow u$ in $C^0([0,t_0], C^k(M)) \bigcap C^1([0,t_0], C^{k-1}(M))$.By equation (6.1) $(u_n)_{tt} \longrightarrow u_{tt}$ in $C^0([0,t_0], C^{k-2}(M))$.Then $u \in C^2([0,t_0], C^{k-2}(M))$ and $u$ satisfies equation (1.2).Take derivation to $t$ of first order,one can finds $u \in C^3([0,t_0], C^{k-3}(M))$.Then iterate this step shows the result holds.

**Proposition 6.2** Suppose $(M,g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold which is scalar curvature flat $R_g = 0$.And $I = [0,T]$ be a interval of length $T$, and $\tilde{R} \in C^\infty(M \times I)$.To any integer $k \geq 2$,exist $\varepsilon > 0$,such that equation (1.2) has a solution $u \in C^k(M \times I)$,and it satisfies $u(x,0) = 0, u_t(x,0) = 0$ when $\|\tilde{R}\|_{H^{[\frac{m}{2}+k]}(M)} \leq \varepsilon$.Here, $\varepsilon$ only relates to manifold $M, k, I$.

**Proof** Similar to Proposition 6.1,take $s = [\frac{m}{2} + k + 1]$,then $H^s(M) \hookrightarrow C^k(M)$.One can take $D, \varepsilon$ small enough such that,

$$C_4 e^{C_5 e^{C_6 D T}}(D + \varepsilon) \;<\; 1 \qquad (6.14)$$

$C_4, C_5, C_6$ are constants in (6.10) and Proposition 4.2 holds. Then (4.44) implies (4.45) and the construction of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ in (6.1),one finds (6.2).This implies (6.10),namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{C^0(I,H^s(M))} + \|(u_n - u_{n-1})_t\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))} \\ \leq\; & C_4 e^{C_5 e^{C_6 D T}}(D + \varepsilon)(\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{C^0(I,H^s(M))} \\ & + \|(u_n - u_{n-1})_t\|_{C^0(I,H^{s-1}(M))}) \end{aligned} \qquad (6.15)$$

Then, similar argument of Proposition 6.1 gives the result by (6.14)(6.15).

At the end we discuss the uniqueness of solution in the above two Proposition.This is from the next result.

**Proposition 6.3** Suppose $(M, g)$ be m-dimensional closed Riemainnian manifold, $I = [0, T]$ be a interval of length $T$, and $\tilde{R} \in C^\infty(M \times I)$.Let $0 \leq \tilde{T} \leq T$.If equation (1.2) has a solution $u \in C^{k+2}(M \times [0, \tilde{T}])$,and $u$ satisfies $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$,then the solution is unique. Here,$\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in C^\infty(M)$ are two prescribed functions,and $k > \frac{m}{2} + 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof** Suppose $u, v \in C^{k+1}(M \times [0, \tilde{T}])$ are two different solution of (1.2) with the same initial data.So,exist $t_{min}$,$0 < t_{min} < \tilde{T}$ and in $[0, t_{min}], u = v$,but $u \neq v$ when $t > t_{min}$.Let $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x, t + t_{min}), \tilde{v}(x, t) = v(x, t + t_{min})$,then $\tilde{u}(x, 0) = \tilde{v}(x, 0), \tilde{u}_t(x, 0) = \tilde{v}_t(x, 0)$.And $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ also satisfy (1.2),differ the corresponded equation,one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_{tt} - e^{-2(m+1)\tilde{u}} \triangle(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{2}\{-e^{-2m\tilde{u}}(1 - e^{2m(\tilde{u}-\tilde{v})})\tilde{R} + e^{-2(m+1)\tilde{u}} H(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \\
& -(m^2 + m + 2)e^{-2(m+1)\tilde{u}} < \nabla\tilde{u}, \nabla(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) > -m(3m + 1)\tilde{u}_t(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_t\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \ = \ & [1 - e^{2(m+1)(\tilde{u}-\tilde{v})}](R_g + 2\triangle\tilde{v}) - (m^2 + m + 2)[< \nabla(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}), \nabla\tilde{v} > \\
& +(1 - e^{2(m+1)(\tilde{u}-\tilde{v})}) < \nabla\tilde{v}, \nabla\tilde{v} >] - m(3m + 1)e^{2(m+1)\tilde{u}}\tilde{v}_t(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_t
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Similar to (6.2)-(6.10) and using Proposition 4.1(ii),one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|_{H^k(M)} + \|(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_t\|_{H^{k-1}(M)} \\
\leq \ & C \int_0^t (\|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\|_{H^k(M)} + \|(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})_t\|_{H^{k-1}(M)}) d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

holds in $[0, t_0]$,and $t_0$ is small enough.Constant $C$ and $t_0$ relates to manifold $M, k, \tilde{R}, \tilde{u}(0), \tilde{u}_t(0)$.(6.18) implies $u = v$ when $t_0$ more small such that $Ct_0 < 1$.This is a contradiction.So,$t_{min} = \tilde{T}$.

Proposition 6.1-6.3 imply Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

At last,we suggest some questions which maybe is nature to ask:
(1)Replace $I$ by $S^1$ (i.e. the unit cycle),what is the sufficient and necessary condition on which equation (1.2) exists solution ?
(2)On what condition,equation (1.2) exists solution on $M \times \mathbb{R}^1$ ?
(3)How to solve this question of the higher dimension version,namely solve equation (3.31) ?
(4)Can this question be generalized to a fiber bundle over a base manifold $M$ ? What is the corresponded equation ?

## References

[Chern,Chen&Lam] S.S.Chern,W.H.Chen,K.S.Lam,Lectures on Differential Geometry,Series on University Mathematics Vol 1,World Scientific,1998.
[Gilbarg&Trudinger] D.Gilbarg,N.S.Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,Classics in Mathematics,Springer,2000.
[Hörmander] L.Hörmander, Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equation,Mathématiques & Applications 26,Springer-Verlag,1997.
[Hughes,Kato&Marsden] T.J.R.Hughes,T.Kato,and J.E.Marsden,Well-Posed Quasi-Linear Second -Order Hyperbolic Systems with Application to Nonlinear Elastodynamics and General Relativity,Arch.Rat.Mech.Anal.63(1977),pp 273-294.
[John] F.John,Decayed Singularity Formation in Solution of Nonlinear Wave Equations in Higher Dimension.Comm.Pure Appl.Math.29(1976),pp 649-681.
[Klainerman] S.Klainerman,Global Existence for Nonlinear Wave Equations. Comm.Pure Appl.Math.1980,Vol XXXIII,pp 43-401.
[Nirenberg] L.Nirenberg, On Elliptic Partial Differential Equation, Ann.Scu. Norm.Sup.Pisa 13(3)(1959),115-162.
[Smith & Tataru] H.F.Smith and D.Tataru,Sharp Local Well-Posedness Results for the Nonlinear Wave Equation.Ann.of Math.163(2005),pp291-366.
[Xin] J.Xin,Doctor Thesis.
[Schoen&Yau] R.Schoen,S-T.Yau, Lectures on Differential Geometry, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology,Volume 1,International Press,1994.
[Zheng] S.Zheng, Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 133, Chapman & Hall/CRC,2004.